
DAVID IS RUDDY **ESAU IS RED!**

The scriptures describe David as ruddy. Unfortunately, too many have used this one scripture to somehow annul the fact that Esau is red and known today as the so-called White man! It is very important that you read your scriptures thoroughly in the manner Christ taught us to read them, “*for precept must be upon precept, precept upon precept; line upon line, line upon line; here a little, and there a little.*” (Isaiah 28:10) which allows us to understand the true meaning of the words and the context in which the words were used.

Let’s first examine in depth Esau’s physical description as stated in the scriptures:

*GENESIS 25:25: “AND THE FIRST CAME OUT **RED**, ALL OVER LIKE AN HAIRY GARMENT; AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME ESAU.”*



Using a Hebrew/Greek lexicon, the word “**RED**” is “admoniy.” **RED** is defined as follows:

132. **ADMONIY**, AD-MO-NEE’; OR (FULLY) **ADMOWNIY**, AD-MO-NEE’; FROM 119; REDDISH (OF THE HAIR OR THE COMPLEXION):—**RED**, **RUDDY**.

Though the definition of **RED** here describes reddish hair or complexion, the root of the meaning of the word is at 119:

119. **ADAM**, AW-DAM'; TO SHOW BLOOD (IN THE FACE), I.E. FLUSH OR TURN ROSY:—BE (DYED, MADE) RED (**RUDDY**).

Now you can see that **RED** is used to physically describe Esau/Edom and it derives from the word “Adam” which means “to show blood.” However, we know that Adam was created from earth making him have a dark/brown complexion.

GENESIS 2:7: “AND THE LORD GOD FORMED MAN OF THE DUST OF THE GROUND, AND BREATHED INTO HIS NOSTRILS THE BREATH OF LIFE; AND MAN BECAME A LIVING SOUL.”

The Hebrew word for “ground” here is:

127. **ADAMAH**, AD-AW-MAW'; FROM 119; SOIL (FROM ITS GENERAL REDNESS):—COUNTRY, EARTH, GROUND, HUSBAND(-MAN) (-RY), LAND.

“Ground” also derives from Strong’s definition 119 meaning “**RED**.” But we must ask ourselves, “Why is Adam who is made of the brownish earth called “**RED**?” Is it his physical description? Not at all! Do not all people on the earth today come from Adam? Are all people brown? NO! We must learn that the name “Adam” (Strong’s 119) also has a root – this is what many overlook!



1818. **DAM**, DAWM; FROM 1826 (COMPARE 119); **BLOOD (AS THAT WHICH WHEN SHED CAUSES DEATH) OF MAN OR AN ANIMAL**; BY ANALOGY, THE JUICE OF THE GRAPE; FIGURATIVELY (ESPECIALLY IN THE PLURAL) BLOODSHED (I.E. DROPS OF BLOOD):—BLOOD(-Y, -GUILTINESS, (-THIRSTY), + INNOCENT.

“DAM” is the Hebrew word for BLOOD and we all know what color blood is. All the words that describe the word “**RED**” has a form of the word “DAM” (=Blood) in it describing the origin of its redness (being blood).

Red- admoniy, awdam, adam, even Edom!!

123. **EDOM**, ED-OME´; OR (FULLY) EDOWM, ED-OME´; FROM 122; RED (SEE GEN. 25:25); EDOM, THE ELDER TWIN-BROTHER OF JACOB; HENCE THE REGION (IDUMAEA) OCCUPIED BY HIM:—EDOM, EDOMITES, IDUMEA.

122. **ADOM**, AW-DOME´; FROM 119; ROSY:—RED, **RUDDY**.

Then, why is Adam described as “**RED**?” He’s not! He is described as looking like the earth or ground. However, seeing we all come from Adam, we are descendants of his “blood (DAM)” lineage. All men alive carry the “blood” or life source of man called “DAM,” hence all men were named Adam at one point.

GENESIS 5:2: “MALE AND FEMALE CREATED HE THEM; AND BLESSED THEM, AND CALLED THEIR NAME ADAM, IN THE DAY WHEN THEY WERE CREATED.”

120. **ADAM**, AW-DAWM´; FROM 119; **RUDDY** I.E. A HUMAN BEING (AN INDIVIDUAL OR THE SPECIES, MANKIND, ETC.):—X ANOTHER, + HYPOCRITE, + COMMON SORT, X LOW, MAN (MEAN, OF LOW DEGREE), PERSON.

This definition of “Adam” has its origin still at 119 but defines itself as “the human race” seeing we all have the DAM or BLOOD of the first man. Examine:

ACTS 17:26: “AND HATH MADE OF ONE BLOOD (FROM ADAM) ALL NATIONS OF MEN FOR TO DWELL ON ALL THE FACE OF THE EARTH, AND HATH DETERMINED THE TIMES BEFORE APPOINTED, AND THE BOUNDS OF THEIR HABITATION;”

All men and women have their origin with ONE BLOOD beginning with ADAM. Hence, the suffix DAM in his name. So, as some falsely teach, “if Adam was created of earth and physically described as the complexion of the rich soil, then how can Edom/Esau who is described as **RED** just like ADAM be the **RED** (Caucasian) race? Easy! Remember, Adam’s name comes from blood/DAM (which is without doubt red) he possesses and not his outer physical attributes. Edom, on the other hand, was described as being **RED** “outwardly.”

GENESIS 25:25 AND THE FIRST CAME OUT RED, ALL OVER LIKE AN HAIRY GARMENT; AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME ESAU.

Esau is Edom and is described as being **RED** unlike Jacob who also came out of the same WOMB. Why does **RED** trace back to Adam in the lexicons? Let us examine:

132. **ADMONIY**, AD-MO-NEE´; OR (FULLY) ADMOWNIY, AD-MO-NEE´; FROM 119; REDDISH (OF THE HAIR OR THE COMPLEXION):—RED, **RUDDY**.

Now according to Genesis 5:2, wasn't every male and female called ADAM? Yes! So wouldn't Esau have already been **RED** along with Jacob, Isaac, and every descendant of Adam? Yes! Then, why is there a need to describe Edom/Esau as red in Genesis 25:25? Because this man was now "outwardly" Red!! Whereas everyone else was **RED**/Adam/dam internally seeing our blood is within us. But, Edom's BLOOD/Adam/DAM penetrates through his skin, thus making him outwardly **RED**.

This definition hurts their whole doctrine whereas they try to say the **RED** used to describe Esau/Edom is of a reddish brown color. Oh, really? Examine:

2 KINGS 3:22: "AND THEY ROSE UP EARLY IN THE MORNING, AND THE SUN SHONE UPON THE WATER, AND THE MOABITES SAW THE WATER ON THE OTHER SIDE AS RED AS BLOOD:"

The definition of **RED** and BLOOD used here is as follows:

122. **ADOM**, AW-DOME´; FROM 119; ROSY:—RED, **RUDDY**.

1818. **DAM**, DAWM; FROM 1826 (COMPARE 119); BLOOD (AS THAT WHICH WHEN SHED CAUSES DEATH) OF MAN OR AN ANIMAL; BY ANALOGY, THE JUICE OF THE GRAPE; FIGURATIVELY (ESPECIALLY IN THE PLURAL) BLOODSHED (I.E. DROPS OF BLOOD):—BLOOD(-Y, -GUILTINESS, (-THIRSTY), + INNOCENT.

Clearly, **RED** here describes a visual color and again has its origin at 119 and is being used synonymously with BLOOD or DAM.

Now, let us examine David.

*1 SAMUEL 16:12: "AND HE SENT, AND BROUGHT HIM IN. NOW HE WAS **RUDDY**, AND WITHAL OF A BEAUTIFUL COUNTENANCE, AND GOODLY TO LOOK TO. AND THE LORD SAID, ARISE, ANOINT HIM: FOR THIS IS HE."*

132. **ADMONIY**, AD-MO-NEE´; OR (FULLY) **ADMOWNIY**, AD-MO-NEE´; FROM 119; REDDISH (OF THE HAIR OR THE COMPLEXION):—RED, **RUDDY**.



This is the stumbling block. “**RUDDY**” described here is “admoniy” the same word to describe the **RED** color of Esau. Does this mean David looked like an Edomite being red all over? It is known that the Israelites, as well as the people of Judah, were people of color. Now, ask yourself, “why didn’t the translators use the word **RED** instead of **RUDDY** seeing they have the same meaning? Well, let’s ask them! The English translations of the Bible were written in the Old English.

Examine the word “**RUDDY**” in an Old English Dictionary:

RUDDY - REDDISH, SUN-TANNED HEALTHY AND GLOWING APPEARANCE; A TANNED AND BRILLIANT GLOW DEMONSTRATING VIBRANCE IN THE SKIN.

Modern Definition of “**RUDDY**:”

RUDDY |'RƏDĒ|ADJECTIVE (-DIER , -DIEST)1 (OF A PERSON’S FACE) HAVING A HEALTHY RED COLOR : A CHEERFUL PIPE-SMOKING MAN OF **RUDDY** COMPLEXION.

Understanding this foundation is crucial to understanding Edom/Esau. David was described as **RUDDY** and not **RED**. Edom was described as **RED** and not **RUDDY**. Although they share the same Hebrew meaning, we must examine the context. Knowing the foundation of these meanings helps us to know both definitions are related to **BLOOD** activity in the skin. Esau/Edom is described as **RED ALL OVER** showing that blood is clearly seen through his flesh. David is described as “***RUDDY** and of a beautiful countenance*” which corresponds with the old and modern definitions of a “brilliant” and “healthy” skin complexion. When one is **RUDDY**, the blood cells are so vibrant they possess a glow about them as young Negro/Indian men and women do. Caucasians have always lacked this glow and, for this reason, “**BLUSH**” was created as makeup to give Caucasian women an artificial healthy, “reddish” skin tone. What happens when a Negro is said to “Blush?” Their face turns a reddish color from embarrassment,

although their natural physical color does not change. Let's look at the context of how the scriptures use **RUDDY**:

*1 SAMUEL 16:12: "AND HE SENT, AND BROUGHT HIM IN. NOW HE WAS **RUDDY**, AND WITHAL OF A BEAUTIFUL COUNTENANCE, AND GOODLY TO LOOK TO. AND THE LORD SAID, ARISE, ANOINT HIM: FOR THIS IS HE."*

*1 SAMUEL 17:42: "AND WHEN THE PHILISTINE LOOKED ABOUT, AND SAW DAVID, HE DISDAINED HIM: FOR HE WAS BUT A YOUTH, AND **RUDDY**, AND OF A FAIR COUNTENANCE."*

*SONG 5:10: "MY BELOVED IS WHITE AND **RUDDY**, THE CHIEFEST AMONG TEN THOUSAND."*

*LAMENTATIONS 4:7: "HER NAZARITES WERE PURER THAN SNOW, THEY WERE WHITER THAN MILK, THEY WERE MORE **RUDDY** IN BODY THAN RUBIES, THEIR POLISHING WAS OF SAPPHIRE:"*

Notice in each instance **RUDDY** is used as a positive description and in association with beauty. This is why Goliath laughed at David when he saw him because David was youthful and handsome and did not have that hard, blemished and weary look that most men of war possess.

*1 SAMUEL 17:42: "AND WHEN THE PHILISTINE LOOKED ABOUT, AND SAW DAVID, HE DISDAINED HIM: FOR HE WAS BUT A YOUTH, AND **RUDDY**, AND OF A FAIR COUNTENANCE."*

Edom was known as a fierce warrior, so clearly **RED** had nothing to do with Goliath mocking David.

Now, let's examine some historical evidence:

1 MACCABEES 1:1: "AND IT HAPPENED, AFTER THAT ALEXANDER SON OF PHILIP, THE MACEDONIAN, WHO CAME OUT OF THE LAND OF CHETTIIM, HAD SMITTEN DARIUS KING OF THE PERSIANS AND MEDES, THAT HE REIGNED IN HIS STEAD, THE FIRST OVER GREECE."

When Alexander (a Caucasian or White man) conquered the original inhabitants of Greece, originally known as Javan, he became the first Edomite ruler and named the land Greece. How do we know he was an Edomite?

1 MACCABEES 4:61: "AND THEY SET THERE A GARRISON TO KEEP IT, AND FORTIFIED BETHSURA TO PRESERVE IT; THAT THE PEOPLE MIGHT HAVE A DEFENCE AGAINST IDUMEA (GREEK NAME FOR EDOM)."

After Alexander died, his descendants and fellow Greeks tortured and slaughtered the Israelites. For this reason, the Israelites built a fortress to protect them from the Idumeans or

Edomites who then called themselves Greeks/Greece. The Greeks later became the Romans who without a doubt are so-called Caucasians (Edomites).

123. **EDOM**, ED-OME´; OR (FULLY) EDOWM, ED-OME´; FROM 122; RED (SEE GEN. 25:25); EDOM, THE ELDER TWIN-BROTHER OF JACOB; **HENCE THE REGION (IDUMAEA) OCCUPIED BY HIM:—EDOM, EDMITES, IDUMEA.**

Remember the Bible describes Esau/Edom (a.k.a. Caucasians or Whites) as **RED** and not White! And don't confuse this with leprosy as they so conveniently try to label themselves. Is it a coincidence that there was only ONE nation/race of people described as **RED** in the Bible and there's only ONE race of people physically **RED** today? Isn't the truth obvious?